Previous references.

File No. 12 of 02-03

1902.

Serial Nos. 1–9

(Banking)
Ins.

Later references.

Banking 496 of 03-04.
St. 1–2. B.
B. Manl 08 ss 1-8 B. 13 09
Mar 0 " 1-4 " 06 08
B. Dec^r 10 1-4 B. 42 09
B 13-12 & 1-2 B Sept 12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–9

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Employment of Mr. H. W. Meikle, Actuary to the Govt. Oriental Life Insurance Co. of Bombay, to report on the assets and the liabilities of the State Life Insurance Funds; and the question of such temporary employment of Europeans require the sanction of the Govt. of India.

Table of Contents.

1. No. 1461 dy. 12-3-03. From the Secy. Insurance Committee. Requesting early sanction for the expenditure of Rs. 1575/- being fee for the Actuary and Rs. 75- for the Contingent expenditure.
2. No. Fl. 2007 dy. 19-5-03. To F. A. Resident. Requesting to obtain early sanction of the Govt. of India, to employ Mr. H. J. W. Meikle, Actuary to the Govt. Oriental Life Insurance Co. Bombay for valuing the assets and liabilities of the State Insurance Funds; and soliciting for a reconsideration of the views stated in the Residency letter No. 3427 dy. 28-6-02 re- employment of European
3. No. 2753 dy. 13-6-03. From F. A. Resident. G. R. S. 58 Inquiring as regards the proposed employment of Mr. Meikle, whether he is to work at Bangalore, and if so how long the business is expected to occupy him... etc
4. Fl. 2358 dy. 30-6-03. To the Secy. Insurance Committee. Enquiring whether Mr. Meikle is to work at Bangalore, & if so how long the business is to occupy him.

1768

No. 16 dy. 2-7-03. From the Secy. Insurance Commrs
Stating that Mr. Meikle will work in Bombay, and that it may
probably take him, three months to complete the valuation.

No. Fl. 77 dy. 9-7-03. To F. A. Resident.
Stating that Mr. Meikle will work in Bombay, & that it may
probably take him three months to complete the valuation.

Sl. 7. No. 4083 dy. 28-8-03. From F. A. Resident.
Conveying the sanction of the Govt. of India, to the
temporary employment of Mr. H. J. W. Meikle.

Sl. 8. No. Fl. 869-70. dy. 16-9-03. To the Secy. to Ins. Comtee & the Comptr. G.
Sanctioning the expenditure of Rs. 1575 on account of the
fees payable to the Actuary and of Rs. 75. on account of
Contingent charges, the total cost being met from the
State Insurance Fund, & stating that the result of
the valuation should be reported to Govt

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

REVENUE AND GENERAL, DEPARTMENTS.

Short Office Note.

One form may be used for more than one letter of the same file as long as there is space. The Office Note should be in black ink. Write only on side of this form.

* No. and date of letter, from whom, catch words to denote nature of Subject should be stated, in red ink.

***Subject**

A

Letter No. 1461 & 14.3.03 from the Secretary, State Life Insurance Committee, requesting sanction for the expenditure of Rs 1575/- being fee for the Actuary and Rs 75/- for the contingent expenditure, in all Rs 1650

Note

B

In his Memo No 262 & 19.2.03 subd with the above letter, the Insu Secretary states that, as decided b the committee in March 1902, Mr. J. W. Meikle, Actuary to the Gov. Oriental Life Assurance Company of Bombay, has been selected for valuing the Assets and Liabilities of the Insurance Fund as on 30th 1902, for a fee of 100 Guineas the same as was paid to his father at the last valuation, and a whose recommendation he has been selected. In addition to the above su

* The file in re is attached.

he requests sanction to the contingent expenditure of Rs 75/- including Rs 15/- the wages of a temporary clerk for a month ~~[illegible]~~, whose employment is stated to be necessary on the ground of his present office staff being fully engaged in the ~~regular~~ regular work of the office He states that Rs 50/- was the amount sanctioned for contingent expenditure during the last valuation, when the no: of lives to be valued was 1480 against 3468 now on the books. His office memo gives details of the estimated contingent expenditure

The President and the members have approved of the Memo

Submitted for orders

19·3·03

Put up a draft sanctioning the proposal

20/3/03

Draft submitted

Secretary

21·3·03

21/3/03

23/3

The Dewan

7298
24·3·03

Dewan's remarks on the draft

was the sanction of the Resident taken on the last occasion. I suppose Mr. Meikle is a European

24.3.03 (Intd.) P.N.K

"Please let me have the reference with a draft asking for sanction of the G.I. to be obtained.

27.3.03 (Intd.) M.V.N.

Subd.

The papers in connection with the last valuation of the Insurance Fund in April 1898, do not contain any requisition to the Residency, applying for ~~the~~ sanction of the G.O.I. ~~for the selection of the~~ A in the matter of selecting Mr. James Meikle, the father of the present gentleman selected.

The Assets and Liabilities of the Insurance Fund were valued by the Actuary ~~from~~ in Edinburgh on the information ~~and~~ furnished to him there by the Insurance Secretary

The expenditure in connection with the last valuation was ~~incd~~ by Govt. in their Progs.

C.R. ~~1-8~~ H 81 & 20.7.97. (See Flag C in the linked file)

SS 28/3/03 Forwarded to the Secretary

M 31/3/03

Please put up file about the employment of a Missionary gentleman in the Central College soon after Mr. Lemar death with the draft ask- ing for sanction

Hyn 7/4/3

Ref

Draft drawn as the reference called for.

RSD 15/4/03.

File & Draft returned M 15/4/03

Hon'ble Dewan Hyn

98/9 18.4.03

In reply to this office letter ~~H.~~ No H 2007 & 19/5/03, the First Asst to the Hon'ble the Resident enquires whether Mr. Meikle is to work at Bangalore and, if so, how long the business is expected to occupy him -

During the first quinquennial

valuation in 1898, the assets and liabilities of the fund were valued from Edinburgh, on the information furnished to the Actuary from here. In the present case it appears therefore, that the Actuary will work at Bombay, on the necessary information given to him from here.

During the last occasion, the Insurance Cards were sent to Mr James Meikle on 25th Novr 1897, and he sent his report on 5th April 1898. Therefore, the period which the business may occupy the Actuary may be taken at least to be 4 months.

22/6/03 Put up a draft for Mr. Secy's signature

22/6/03

Draft subd

2/6 The Insurance Secretary's reply was received on 4th July 1903 and communicated to the 1st Asstt to the Hon'ble the Resident on 9.7.03. The sanction of the Govt of India was communicated to the Durbar on 28.8.03.

Draft Progs conveying sanction to the employment of Mr Meikle is submitted.

3/9/03

Submitted with this despatch -

The draft letter to the

D

Secretary explains duly -

the necessity in the reference

has arisen from the ruling

of the Resident that the employ-

-ment of Europeans under the

civic under which the Walker's

Corps was of help in the Central

College was availed of moved

secure the sanction of the Gov-

E of India - This new is viewed

with suspicion which has

long prevailed on the subject;

precedents extending over a

long period from the date of

the Rendition, and to the

reasonable construction of the

clause 7. the Instrument of

Transfer - The draft letter

F

may be approved.

11. V. 03

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

592

Proposed employment of Mr H. J. W. Meikle for valuing the assets and liabilities of the State Insurance Fund, as they stood on 30th June 1902

Order.

I agree

The draft is susceptible of improvement.

K R M

13/5/03

666
13/5/03

I agree -

13.V.03

14/5/3

Issue the Farmaby which has been signed by me

19/5/3

19/5/03

Proceedings of the Govt of H H the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Letter No 1461, dated 14 March 1903, from the Secretary to the Mysore State Life Insurance committee submitting his office memo no: 2629 19.2.03, with the opinion of the President and members of the Committee recorded thereon, and requesting the sanction of Govt to incur an expenditure of 100 Guineas (Rs 1575) being the fee for Mr H. J. W. Meikle, Actuary to the Govt Oriental Life Assurance Company of Bombay, who has been selected for valuing the ~~Assets~~ Assets and Liabilities of the Insurance Fund as it stood on 30th June 02, and also a contingent expenditure of Rs 75 including

Not in Volume

Was the sanction of the President taken on the Correspondence. I suppose Mr Meikle is a European

24.III.03

Please let me have the papers with a draft asking for sanction of the G.I to be obtained.

27/3/3

Please see Office Note-
28/3/03

including Rs 15/- the wages of a temporary clerk for a month, whose employment being very necessary, as his present ~~office~~ staff is fully engaged in the regular work of the office

Order

The expenditure of Rs 15 75 being fee for the Actuary, and Rs 7 5 being the amount required for contingent ~~expenditure~~ charges, is sanctioned The total Expdre will be met from the State Insurance Fund.

21/3/03 Secretary

To.

The Secretary to the Mysore State Life Insurance Committee (with the enclosures to the letter read above) Comptr, Govt of Mysore.

To

The First Assistant
to the Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to request the favour of your moving the Hon'ble the Resident to obtaining early for the Darbar the sanction of the Government of India to employ Mr. H. J. W. Meikle Actuary to the Government Oriental Life Assurance Company of Bombay, for valuing the assets and liabilities of the State Insurance Fund as they stood on 30th June 1902. His fee has been fixed at 100 Guineas, and his employment will cease with the completion of the work for which his services are required.

2 I take this opportunity now to add that

Typed
11.V.03

on a former occasion
when an actuary was
employed by the State for
a similar purpose no com-
munication would seem
to have been made to
the Government of India
on the subject. Now as however
the Hon'ble the Resident has
been pleased to observe
in Your No. 3427 of 28.6.02
in connection with the honorary service
~~employment~~ of Mr. Walker
in the Bangalore Central
College for a short period,
that even such temporary
employment for a specified
task or job required the
sanction of the Government
of India, the same has
now been sought for in
the case of Mr. Meikle

vide

3. It may be pointed
out also that when the
Civil Service Examinations
were held on more than
one occasion, the services
of European gentlemen
not in the ~~service~~ employment of
the State were utilized
to form a Board of
Examiners and conduct
the

Examinations. They were paid honoraria also just as in the case of Mr. Walker. No report was ~~however~~ made to the Government of India nor was any objection taken to their employment. Europeans have also been frequently employed as D. P. W. contractors and for carrying out any job work such as the installation of electrical lights &c., and in none of these cases the sanction of the Government of India was deemed necessary. Under the circumstances I am to solicit a reconsideration of the view stated in the Residency letter No 3427 above referred to

The present prohibition to employ Europeans temporarily without sanction or in anticipation of it causes considerable embarrassment especially in unforeseen circumstances as when Mr Walker's offer of help had to be availed of employed on account

of

of the sudden death of
W. Leonard or when the
~~nature of the~~ employment
the nature of
is of a more job-work,
lasting only for a few days,
and which cannot be
conveniently postponed.
It appears therefore desirable
to restrict the operation of
the obligation imposed
upon the State by clause
12 of the Instrument of
Transfer of not employing
Europeans to regular
services under the Durbar,
whether temporary or permanent,
where the employment
extends over a reasonably
lengthened period of time
and where generally the
remuneration is by fixed
periodical payments.

Yrs.

H. S. 03

Secy

From No. 1234,

E. R. SUBROYAR, ESQ., B.A., B. C.E.,

Secretary to the Mysore
State Life Insurance
Committee, Bangalore.

Serial No. 1.

To H. V. Nanjundiah Esq. M.A.
Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Dated 12th Fin & Rev Depts
Despatched 14
Received March 1903
General No. 1461
No.

Rev. Walker's file posted with this was returned to E.S. Br on 29/7/04 (vide their issue book as per requisition)

Enclosure No. 1 file

Requesting for the very early sanction of Government for the expenditure of Rs 15/5/- being fee for the Actuary and Rs 7/5/- for the Contingent expenditure in all Rs 16/5/-

---

MGCPB.—12-2-02—50

18/3

2

R 16.2.03

1

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY TO THE MYSORE
STATE LIFE INSURANCE COMMITTEE.

Bangalore
12th March 1903

No. 1461
Exe. file

From E. R. Subbraya Esqr
The Secretary to the Mysore B.A.B.C.E.
State Life Insurance Committee, Bangalore.

To N. V. Nanjundayya Esqr
M.A. M.R.A.S.
The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore (General and Revenue
departments,
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith this office Memo No 262 dated 19th February 1903 with the opinion of the President and Members of the Committee recorded thereon for reference and obliging return when done with and to request you to be so good as to obtain the very early sanction of Government for the expenditure of 100 guineas that is Rs 1575 being fee for the Actuary and Rs 75 for contingen

expenditure in all do 1650.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant
E Bonbonyn
Secretary
Insurance Committee

D
No. K. 2007 4

To

The First Assistant to the Hon'ble
the Resident in Mysore.

Serial No. 2

Dated 19" May 1903.
19.

Sir,

I am directed to request the favor of your moving the Hon'ble the Resident to obtain early for the Durbar the sanction of the Government of India to employ Mr. H.J.W. Meikle, Actuary to the Government Oriental Life Assurance Company of Bombay, for valuing the Assets and Liabilities of the State Insurance Fund, as they stood on 30th June 1902. His fee has been fixed at 100 guineas, and his employment will cease with the completion of the work for which his services are required.

2. I take this opportunity to add that on a former occasion when an actuary was employed by the State for a similar purpose, no communication would seem to have been made to the Government of India on the subject. But as however the Hon'ble the Resident has been pleased to observe in your No.3427 of 28th June 1902, in connection with the honorary service of Mr. Walker in the Bangalore Central College for a short period, that even such temporary employment for a specified task or job required the sanction of the Government

of India, the same has now been sought for in the case of Mr. Meikle.

3. It may be pointed out also that when the Civil Service Examinations were held on more than one occasion, the services of European Gentlemen not in the employment of the State were utilized to form a Board of Examiners and conduct the Examinations. They were paid honoraria also just as in the case of Mr. Walker. No report was made to the Government of India, nor was any objection taken to their employment. Europeans have also been frequently employed as D.P.W contractors and for carrying out any job-work such as the installation of electrical lights &c., and in none of these cases the sanction of the Government of India was deemed necessary. Under the circumstances I am to solicit a re-consideration of the view stated in the Residency letter No.3427 above referred to.

4. The present prohibition to utilize the services of Europeans temporarily and for specified tasks without sanction or in anticipation of it causes considerable embarassment especially in unforseen circumstances as when Mr. Walker's offer of help had to be availed of on account of the sudden death of Mr. Leonard or when the employment is of the nature of a mere job

work, lasting only for a few days, and which cannot be conveniently postponed. It appears therefore desirable to restrict the operation of the obligation imposed upon the State by clause 12 of the Instrument of Transfer of not employing Europeans, to regular services under the Durbar, whether temporary or permanent, where the employment extends over a reasonably lengthened period of time and where generally the remuneration is by fixed periodical payments.

I have &c.,

Secretary.

Cl.13475. Fl.1768

No. 2753.
Pol.1913

From

R. C. H. MOSS. KING, Esq., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

Serial No. 3

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 13 June 1903

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Nanjundayya's letter No. F.L.2007, dated the 19th May 1903, and to enquire, as regards the proposed employment of Mr. Meikle for valuing the assets and liabilities of the State Assurance Fund as they stood on 30th June 1903, whether Mr. Meikle is to work at Bangalore and, if so, how long the business is expected to occupy him.

2. With reference to the general question raised in paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of the letter cited above, I am to invite a reference to paragraph 3(1) of this office letter No.2414-385, dated the 27th August 1886, and to say that the position assumed by the Government of India that applications for leave to employ Europeans either temporarily or permanently should be submitted

for

for the sanction of the Governor General in Council and that such sanction should not be anticipated, is one which Sir Donald Robertson sees no prospect of their consenting to abandon even if he were disposed to agree that any change in respect to temporary employment was desirable.

3. As regards the incident of Mr. Walker's employment, I am to say that his case, as given in the marginally quoted statement taken from Part II of the volume of the Mysore Government's Proceedings for November 1901, was hardly analogous to that of a contractor who merely undertakes to carry out a contract without entering, even temporarily, State service.

> Sanctioning the payment of Rs 600 to Revd: G.C.Walker for assisting with the English teaching of the college classes for 2 hours daily during October and November 1901.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

First Assistant Resident.

VS

Mysore Govt
Genl & Rev: Secretariat.

Mysore Dewan's Office,
Revenue Branch.

Office Draft. (Docket.)

To

The Secretary, Mysore
State Life Insurance
Committee.

Serial No. 4

Date of passing the draft 30/6/03
Date of despatch 30

D

Regd. No. H 2358
File No. & date (if any)

No. of Encls.

His letter No. 1461 dt
14.3.03, stating that Mr
H. J. W. Meikle, Actuary to
the Govt Oriental Life
Assurance Company of
Bombay, has been selected
for valuing the Assets and
Liabilities of the Insurance
Fund as they stood on 30th
June 1902, and requesting
sanction to incur the ex-
penditure of Rs 1575, being
fees payable to the Actuary,
and Rs 75 on account of
Contingencies.

With reference to the
above, has the honour to
enquire whether Mr Meikle

10

is to work at Bangalore and if so, how long the business is expected to occupy him, and to request an early reply in the matter.

A very early reply is requested

By Order.

24/6/07

22/6/07 for Secretary

22/6

25/6/07

28/6/07

4 JUL 1903

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY TO THE MYSORE STATE LIFE INSURANCE COMMITTEE.

Bangalore
2nd July 1903

No. 16

From E. R. Lakshmayya Esqr
B.A. B.C.E.
The Secretary to the Mysore State Life Insurance Committee, Bangalore.

No. 7436.
DIWAN'S OFFICE

Serial No. 5

To A. V. Narayanayya Esqr
M.A. M.C.E.
Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

In reply to docket No. H. 2358 dated 30th June 1903 I beg to state that Mr Meikle will work in Bombay and it may probably take him three months to complete the valuation.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant
E R Lakshmayya
Secretary
Insurance Committee

receipt book-ufk
8/7/03
draft reply ptd
9/7/03 ufk

From E.R. Suberoyan Esq. B.A. B.C.E.

The Secretary to the
Mysore State Life
Insurance Committee,
Bangalore.

To W.V. Nanjundaiah Esq. M.A. M.L.
Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 2nd.

Despatched 4 July 1903

Received

General No. 16
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that Mr Meikle will return to Bombay and that he may probably take him three months to complete the valuation

Mysore Govt
Genl & Rev: Secl
~~Mysore Dewan's Office~~
Rev: Branch.

12

Office Draft. (Letter.)

To

The 1st Assistant to the
Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore

Date of passing the draft
Date of despatch 9/7/03
9 L D

Regd. No. H 77
File No. & date (if any)

No. of Encls. Serial No. 6

Sir,

With reference to your
letter No. 2753 & 13.6.03,
enquiring whether Mr.
Meikle, who is proposed to
be employed for valuing
the assets and liabilities of
the Mysore State Life
Insurance Fund as they
stood on 30th June 1902,
is to work at Bangalore,
and, if so, how long the
business is expected to
occupy him, I am
directed to state that

~~it is reported~~ that he will work in Bombay, and it may probably take from 3 months to complete the valuation

I have

9/7/03

9/7/03 Secretary

9/7/03

Fl.384. 14

No. 4083

Pol. 316. Rec'd 28/8/03

FROM

R. C. H. MOSS. KING, ESQ., I. C. S., 12553- Mysore 28.8.03

FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

recd 29.VIII.03

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Serial No. 7

Dated Bangalore, 28 August 1903.

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with Mr. Nanjundayya's letter No. Fl 77, dated the 9th July 1903, I am directed to convey the sanction of the Government of India to the temporary employment by the Durbar of Mr. H.J.W.Meikle, Actuary to the Government Oriental Life Assurance Company of Bombay, to value the assets and liabilities of the Mysore State Insurance Fund, as they stood on the 30th June 1902, and to the grant of a fee of 100 guineas to him for this work.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

First Assistant Resident.

VC

Mysore Govt., Gen. & Rev. Secretariat

Revenue Branch

File No. (if any) and its date—

H 869-70

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Serial No. 8

Read :

Letter No 1461 & 14-3-03 from the Secretary to the Mysore State Life Insurance Committee ~~submitting his office memo No. 262 & 19-2-03, with the opinion of the President and members of the Committee recorded thereon,~~ and requesting the sanction of the Govt to incur an expenditure of 100 Guineas (Rs 1575) being the fee payable to Mr. ~~Order:~~ N. J. W. Meikle, Actuary to the Govt Oriental Life Assurance Company of Bombay, who has been selected for valuing the Assets and Liabilities of the State Insurance Fund, as it stood on 30th June 02; and also to a contingent expenditure of Rs 75 including Rs 15 being the pay of a temporary clerk, for a month

(2) Letter No 1684-7-03, from the same officer submitting further information on the subject

(3). Correspondence ending with letter No 4083 & 28-8-03, from the 1st Asst to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, conveying the sanction of the Govt of India

to the proposed temporary employment of Mr Mickle.

No H869-70 dt 9/16.9.03 in now be arranged as contemplated

Order:-

The valuation may be proceeded with the incurring of an expenditure of Rs 15.7.5 on account of the fee payable to the Actuary, and Rs 7.5 ~~being the amount required~~ mail charges for contingent charges, is sanctioned, the total cost being met from the State Insurance Fund

2. The result of the valuation as made ~~by the Report~~ should be reported for the information of Govt

12/9/03

8/9/03 Secretary.

To.

The Secretary, Mysore State Life Insurance Committee, returning his office memo.

Comptr., Mysore Govt.

12/9/03

Comptr
14/9